

PATENT NO. <b>4,584,305</b>	PATENT DATE <b>4-22-86</b>
PATENTEE <i>Josephus Brugnani et al.</i>	



**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK  
Washington, D.C. 20231

*Geoffrey D. Dellonbaugh  
One Johnson and Johnson Plaza  
New Brunswick, N.J. 08953-7003*

MAILING DATE  <b>AUG 20 1986</b>
--

**NOTIFICATION OF APPROVAL IN-PART OF CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION**

The Certificate of Correction requested in the patent identified above has been APPROVED with the exceptions indicated below. The remaining errors will be corrected as requested. The Certificate, so modified, will be issued on **SEP 30 1986**

- A. ☐ THE CHANGES BELOW CANNOT BE INCLUDED IN THE CERTIFICATE SINCE THE REQUEST WAS FILED UNDER RULE 322.
1. ☐ Column \_\_\_\_\_, line \_\_\_\_\_, is printed in accordance with the record.
    - (a) ☐ The change referred to was initiated and dated by applicant before execution of the application papers.
  2. ☐ In column \_\_\_\_\_, line \_\_\_\_\_, the error resulted from applicant's failure to comply with Rule 121(a), in that the precise point of entry of the amendment was omitted.
  3. ☐ In column \_\_\_\_\_, line \_\_\_\_\_, the alleged error is due to applicant's failure to comply with Rule 121(b), wherein provision is made for use of brackets, instead of parentheses, to cancel subject matter and for the use of interlineations to indicate new subject matter.
  4. ☐ Omission of the priority data from the patent resulted from applicant's failure to fully comply with 35 U.S.C. 119, in that:
    - (a) ☐ The priority data was omitted from the oath, or declaration.
    - (b) ☐ The claim for priority was not included in the application papers.
    - (c) ☐ The certified copy of the foreign application was not filed.
  5. ☐ The assignment data is printed in the patent in accordance with PTO 76-136, submitted by applicant at time of payment of the base issue fee, as follows:
- 
6. ☐ In column \_\_\_\_\_, line \_\_\_\_\_, the error arose because Rule 52(b) was not complied with. Consequently words on top of certain pages were obliterated where those pages were placed in the file jacket, causing the Office to provide what appeared to be the proper words.
- B. ☐ THE REQUEST HAS BEEN CHANGED AS SHOWN BELOW TO COMPLY WITH THE RECORD:
1. ☐ Since it is not normally the practice of the Office to reprint figures of the drawings, the following narrative description of Fig(s). \_\_\_\_\_ as suggested by the examiner, will be included: